

Sample of Expenditures. This is a minimum requirements template. It may be modified to include additional sections.

Sample expenditure description and voucher number	Sample expenditure amount reported	Documentation exists to support expenditure in accordance with IP's applicable rules and procedures and agreements with the agency? (Y/N) – document the evidence reviewed	Activity is related to expenditure in accordance with work plan? (Y/N) – document the line item in the budget or work plan	Expenditure has been reviewed and approved in accordance with IP's applicable rules and procedures and agreements with the agency? (Y/N) – document the level of review and approval	Expenditure was reflected on a certified FACE form submitted to the agency and in IP's accounting records and bank statement? (Y/N)	Supporting documents are stamped 'PAID from XXX grant', indicating which agency funded the transaction or coded to and recorded in a UNICEF specific fund? (Y/N)	Expenditure was recorded in the IP's accounting records and reflected in a certified FACE form in the period in which it was incurred(Y/N)	Price paid for goods or services against United Nations agreed standard rates (if readily available) and according to budget	Comment/finding
Total sample expenditures:									
Total expenditures reported on FACE forms during period under spot check:									
Percentage coverage: (Total sample expenditures divided by total expenditures reported on FACE forms during period under spot check)									

Findings and Recommendations

This is a minimum requirements template. It may be modified to include additional sections.

A. Observations relating to overall financial management practices, internal control environment and compliance

	Observation description	Category of observation ¹	Risk rating ²	Management Response	Recommendation	Priority ³	Due date for implementation	Management Response
1								
2								
...								

Note 1:

Category of observations:

1. Accounting and financial reporting systems and procedures
2. Cash, bank and asset management
3. Internal control deficiency, including approvals and segregation of duties
4. Contracting process and procurement procedures
5. Compliance with agreement and other laws and regulations
6. Other

Note 2:

Risk ratings:

- High: significant likelihood of negative impact on the IP's ability to execute or report on the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- Medium: likelihood of negative impact on the IP's ability to execute or report on some aspects of the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- Low: low likelihood of potential negative impact on the IP's ability to execute or report on the programme in accordance with the WP

Note 3:

Priority Ranking:

- High: Action that is considered imperative to ensure that the agency is not exposed to high risks (i.e. failure to take action could result in major consequences and issues).
- Medium: Action that is considered necessary to avoid exposure to significant risks (i.e. failure to take action could result in significant consequences).
- Low: Action that is considered desirable and should result in enhanced control or better value for money.

B. Observations relating to specific expenditures selected for testing

	Sample expenditure description and voucher number	Sample expenditure amount reported and currency	Observation description	Category of observation	Risk rating	Management Response	Recommendation	Priority	Due date for implementation	Management Response
1										
2										
...										

Note 1:

Category of observations:

1. Missing or inadequate documentation
2. Error in calculating or recording the expenditure
3. Expenditure not related to the programme or activity
4. Expenditure exceeds the approved budget rate or amount
5. Expenditure incurred outside the agreement period
6. Expenditure not recorded in the correct period or FACE form
7. Expenditure not approved as per IP policy
8. Expenditure not compliant with tax, legal or other regulations
9. Lack of proof that the expenditure was incurred or no proof of payment
10. Potential fraud or other irregularity
11. Other (specify)

Note 2:

Risk ratings:

- High: significant likelihood of negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute or report on the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- Medium: likelihood of negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute or report on some aspects of the programme in accordance with the work plan.
- Low: low likelihood of potential negative impact on the IP’s ability to execute or report on the programme in accordance with the WP

Note 3:

Priority Ranking:

- High: Action that is considered imperative to ensure that the agency is not exposed to high risks (i.e. failure to take action could result in major consequences and issues).
- Medium: Action that is considered necessary to avoid exposure to significant risks (i.e. failure to take action could result in significant consequences).
- Low: Action that is considered desirable and should result in enhanced control or better value for money.