

Are you content with the current proportion of earmarking?

Would you like to see any changes to the earmarking policy in ECW's Operating Model?



- **Current policy: Earmarking to each of the three funding windows; to a specific geographical area within ECW's strategy/scope; and, for multi-year, to a specific thematic area.**
- **About half of contributions to ECW feature some form of earmarking.**
- **Trade-offs in receiving earmarked contributions.**
- **FSO and Secretariat expect to be able to manage earmarking over 2019.**

Are the Executive Committee content with differing approaches to attribution of funding and results by donors?

- Bilateral contributions counted as separate grants managed by UNICEF PPD.
- Contributions to Trust Fund managed by Funds Support Office.
- Co-mingling of funds.
- Theory versus Practice.
- Earmarked and bilateral contributions can be accounted for by donor.

But

- No public breakdown by donor per programme or attributions of result.

